CORRESPONDENCE

Open Access



Reassessing the Casual Effects of Genetically Predicted Obesity on Pelvic Organ Prolapse: Letter to the Editor on "Lifestyle factors, metabolic factors and socioeconomic status for pelvic organ prolapse: a Mendelian randomization study"

Zhangsendi Chen¹, Weiguo Wang¹ and Hongbo He^{1*}

Dear Editor,

We had carefully read the Mendelian randomization (MR) study entitled "Lifestyle factors, metabolic factors and socioeconomic status for pelvic organ prolapse: a Mendelian randomization study" [1] with great interest. In this article, the authors focus on the effects of various metabolic traits on pelvic organ prolapse in women and their MR study provided genetic evidence for the causal role of waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) and waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI (WHRadjBMI) in the risk of pelvic organ prolapse (POP) development. For phenotypes of obesity, the authors used sex combination instruments. Since pelvic organ prolapse is a female-specific disease, in theory, the female-specific instrumental variable effects should be obtained from the GWAS of exposures to avoid the problem of sex heterogeneity as well as the incorrect causal inference results. We thank the authors for their

*Correspondence:

Hongbo He

hhb89008684@163.com

¹ Department of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

important work, and we would like to share our more nuanced causal conclusions regarding obesity and pelvic organ prolapse that the three phenotypes of obesity have a predictable genetic pathogenic role in POP.

Mendelian randomization was also used as the analysis method in our study. The Genetic instruments for obesity were stratified by sex but not combined, given the gender of the source of the outcome data. We obtained data on body mass index (BMI) (N=806,834 including 434,794 women), WHR (N=697,734 including 381,152 women), and WHRadjBMI (N=694,649 including 379,501 women) from a large meta-analysis of genomewide association studies (GWASs) [2]. For the dataset of POP, summary statistics from the R8 release of FinnGen biobank analysis including 15,197 cases and 100,663 controls were used to reduce the sample overlap rate (finn-b-N14_FEMGENPROL).

We set the genome-wide association significance threshold at $P < 5.0 \times 10^{-8}$ to meet the relevance assumption. Palindromic SNPs and SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) structure ($R^2 < 0.001$ within 10,000 kb) were excluded. Exposure and outcome data were harmonized to ensure SNP effects were on the same



Fig. 1 Scatter plots showing the genetic relationship between obesity and POP using inverse-variance weighted, MR-Egger, and weighted median, A BMI: body mass index; B WHR: waist-to-hip ratio; C WHRadjBMI: waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI

allele. Confounding factors related to phenotypes were removed using the "PhenoScanner" online database (www.phosanner.medschl.cam.ac). MR analysis was conducted using random-effects inverse-variance weighting (IVW), MR-Egger, the simulation extrapolation (SIMEX) correction and weighted median methods. Heterogeneity was assessed through MR-PRESSO, Cochran's Q test, and funnel plots to identify outlier SNPs. MR-Egger regression was conventionally employed assuming nondifferential measurement error in the SNP–exposure association (NOME assumption). However, the IGX2 statistic is a more relevant measure for MR-Egger than the F-statistic. If regression dilution I² is less than 90%, violating the NOME assumption, a SIMEX analysis is required [3].

To assess the independent association between genetic predisposition to the mentioned exposures and the risk of POP, while considering confounders such as educational attainment (EA) [4], smoking initiation, and drinks per week [5], we conducted multivariate Mendelian randomization (MVMR) analyses using a random-effects IVW model. All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.2.2) using the packages "TwoSampleMR" (version 0.5.6) and "simex".

Based on our analysis, the results indicate a significant association between genetically predicted obesity and an

Exposures	Method	SNPs	Р			OR (95% CI)
BMI					1	
	IVW	303	0.041		— I	1.112 (1.004-1.231)
	SIMEX(I2 = 85.4%)	303	0.054		⊨ ⊣	1.107 (1.004-1.211)
	Weighted median	303	0.029) 1	1.178 (1.017-1.365)
	MR-PRESSO	303	0.042			1.112 (1.010-1.213)
	MV IVW	307	0.022		├ ──→──┥	1.415 (1.117–1.713)
WHR						
	IVW	234	3.6e-05		H-I	1.236 (1.118-1.366)
	MR-Egger(I2 = 91.5%)	234	0.003		├ ───┤	1.427 (1.127-1.806)
	Weighted median	234	0.035)	1.185 (1.012-1.387)
	MR-PRESSO	234	5.02e-05		н	1.236 (1.135-1.336)
	MV IVW	286	0.01		⊢ → –	1.583 (1.233-1.934)
WHRadjBMI					1	
	IVW	262	8.15e-07		н	1.235 (1.136-1.343)
	MR-Egger(I2 = 94.3%)	262	0.023		 	1.231 (1.030-1.470)
	Weighted median	262	0.035		 1	1.153 (1.010-1.317)
	MR-PRESSO	262	1.45e-06		н	1.235 (1.151-1.319)
	MV IVW	270	0.005		⊢ →	1.967 (1.491-2.444)
				0.5	1 1.5 2	2

Fig. 2 Forest plots for the results of UVMR and MVMR of obesity on the risk of POP, where MVMR controlled for EA, smoking initiation, and weekly alcohol consumption. SNP: single-nucleotide polymorphism; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; MR, Mendelian randomization; PRESSO, pleiotropy residual sum and outlier; MV IVW: multivariate Mendelian randomization (MVMR) analyses using a random-effects IVW model; BMI, body mass index; WHR: waist-to-hip ratio; WHRadjBMI: waist-to-hip ratio adjusted for BMI

elevated likelihood of developing pelvic organ prolapse among women (Fig. 1). For the phenotypes of obesity, in the primary analyses using IVW, the genetically predicted higher BMI [odds ratio (OR): 1.112; 95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.004, 1.231; P=0.041], WHR (OR: 1.236; 95% CI 1.118, 1.366; P<0.001) and WHRadjBMI (OR: 1.235; 95% CI 1.136, 1.343; P<0.001) increase the risk of POP (Additional file 1: Table S1). The associations between the genetic predisposition to the three obesity phenotypes and the risk of pelvic organ prolapse persisted after we further corrected for the confounding effects of EA, smoking initiation, and drinks per week using multivariate MR, with ORs of POP (OR: 1.415; 95% CI 1.117, 1.713; P=0.022) per 1-SD increase in BMI, 1.583 (95% CI 1.233, 1.934; P=0.010) per 1-SD increase in WHR, and 1.967 (95% CI 1.491, 2.444; P=0.005) per 1-SD increase in WHRadjBMI, respectively, using the IVW method (Fig. 2) (Additional file 2: Table S2). Genetic instruments associated with obesity are shown in (Additional file 3: Table S3-S5).

Consistent with the authors, our analysis revealed significant instrumental heterogeneity (P<0.05). Therefore, we used the random-effects IVW method with MR-PRESSO to remove outliers influenced by horizontal pleiotropy. MR-Egger intercept test showed no directional pleiotropy (P>0.05). Since BMI's I² statistic was<90%, we employed SIMEX correction instead of MR-Egger to mitigate bias. Leave-one-out sensitivity analysis found no outlier SNPs affecting causal estimates, ensuring reliability.

Our study provides more detailed MR evidence supporting a significantly causal role of obesity in POP, which complements the conclusions of the study by Liu et al. and increases the feasibility of the results.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40001-023-01312-2.

Additional file 1. Table S1: Sensitivity analysis for Mendelian Randomization analysis.

Additional file 2. Table S2: Associations of obesity with POP adjusted for EA, smoking initiation, and drinks per day using multivariable Mendelian randomization.

Additional file 3. Table S3: Genetic information of SNPs associated with obesity for women in UVMR.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the efforts of investigators and participants of all genome-wide association studies from which they used data.

Author contributions

ZSDC contributed to conception and design; HBH provided administrative support; WGW was responsible for provision of study materials. All the authors collected and assembled the data; ZSDC was involved in data analysis and interpretation and wrote the manuscript. All the authors approved the final manuscript. ZSDC and WGW contributed equally to this work.

Funding

This work is supported by grants from the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2021YFC2009101), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81901462), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No.: 2021YFC2009103) and the Research Fund for Health Care of Cadres in Sichuan Province (No.: 2019-119).

Availability of data and materials

The genetic association data for obesity is available at https://zenodo.org/ record/1251813#.XxgQ2J5KiUI. The GWASs for POP were provided by FinnGen consortium (https://risteys.finregistry.fi/endpoints/N14_FEMGENPROL). The summary statistics for the GWAS related to the exposures and outcome can be accessed from the IEU GWAS database (https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/).

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Competing interests Not applicable.

Received: 10 July 2023 Accepted: 25 August 2023 Published online: 27 September 2023

References

- Liu H, et al. Lifestyle factors, metabolic factors and socioeconomic status for pelvic organ prolapse: a Mendelian randomization study. Eur J Med Res. 2023;28(1):183.
- Pulit SL, et al. Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies for body fat distribution in 694,649 individuals of European ancestry. Hum Mol Genet. 2019;28(1):166–74.
- Bowden J, et al. Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-sample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the l2 statistic. Int J Epidemiol. 2016;45(6):1961–74.
- Lee JJ, et al. Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genomewide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. Nat Genet. 2018;50(8):1112–21.
- Liu M, et al. Association studies of up to 1.2 million individuals yield new insights into the genetic etiology of tobacco and alcohol use. Nat Genet. 2019;51(2):237–44.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

