RESEARCH

Open Access

Check for updates

Association analyses of apolipoprotein E genotypes and cognitive performance in patients with Parkinson's disease

Shi-Guo Zhu^{1†}, Zhu-Ling Chen^{1†}, Ke Xiao¹, Zi-Wei Wang¹, Wen-Bin Lu¹, Rong-Pei Liu¹, Shi-Shi Huang¹, Jian-Hong Zhu^{2*}, Xiong Zhang^{1*} and Jian-Yong Wang^{1*}

Abstract

Background Cognitive impairment is a common non-motor symptom of Parkinson's disease (PD). The apolipoprotein E (APOE) ϵ 4 genotype increases the risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD). However, the effect of APOE ϵ 4 on cognitive function of PD patients remains unclear. In this study, we aimed to understand whether and how carrying APOE ϵ 4 affects cognitive performance in patients with early-stage and advanced PD.

Methods A total of 119 Chinese early-stage PD patients were recruited. Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale, Hamilton anxiety scale, Hamilton depression scale, non-motor symptoms scale, Minimental State Examination, Montreal Cognitive Assessment, and Fazekas scale were evaluated. APOE genotypes were determined by polymerase chain reactions and direct sequencing. Demographic and clinical information of 521 earlystage and 262 advanced PD patients were obtained from Parkinson's Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI).

Results No significant difference in cognitive performance was found between ApoEε4 carriers and non-carriers in early-stage PD patients from our cohort and PPMI. The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) Amyloid Beta 42 (Aβ42) level was significantly lower in ApoEε4 carrier than non-carriers in early-stage PD patients from PPMI. In advanced PD patients from PPMI, the BJLOT, HVLT retention and SDMT scores seem to be lower in ApoEε4 carriers without reach the statistical significance.

Conclusions APOE ϵ 4 carriage does not affect the cognitive performance of early-stage PD patients. However, it may promote the decline of CSF A β 42 level and the associated amyloidopathy, which is likely to further contribute to the cognitive dysfunction of PD patients in the advanced stage.

Keywords Parkinson's disease, Apolipoprotein E, Genotype, Cognitive dysfunction, Non-motor symptoms

[†]Shi-Guo Zhu and Zhu-Ling Chen contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Jian-Hong Zhu Jihzhu@wmu.edu.cn Xiong Zhang zhangxiong98@gmail.com Jian-Yong Wang wangjianyong2020@126.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Parkinson's disease (PD) is a common neurodegenerative disease with bradykinesia, rigidity, and rest tremor as its key features. Non-motor symptoms develop with PD progression and greatly affect the quality of life [1]. Cognitive impairment is one of the most common non-motor symptom of PD, with a prevalence between 24 to 31% [2], and up to 80% of patients develop dementia during the course of the disease [3, 4].

PD associated cognitive impairment mainly involves executive and visuospatial functions. The mechanisms underlying cognitive decline in PD patients are poorly understood. Multiple brain regions, transmitters, environmental and genetic factors are involved in the development of the cognitive impairment [5]. Variations in genes glucosylceramidase (*GBA*), microtubule-associated protein tau (*MAPT*), leucine-rich repeat serine/threonine-protein kinase 2 (*LRRK2*), α -synuclein (*SNCA*) and apolipoprotein E (*APOE*) are believed to impact cognition in PD patients [6].

APOE is an important protein related to lipid metabolism in mammals. Its gene is polymorphic, with three major alleles ε_2 , ε_3 and ε_4 [7]. It is generally accepted that the APOE ε_4 allele increases the risk of Alzheimer's disease (AD) [8, 9]. Studies also showed that APOE ε_4 burden is associated with cognitive decline and severity of AD pathologic features in PD patients [10, 11]. However, the conclusion is inconsistent. A study including 447 PD patients did not find a relationship between APOE ε_4 carriage and cognitive impairment in PD [12].

Some potential reasons for such inconsistent results should be considered. White matter hyperintensity (WMH) is common in the elderly, and it contributes to cognitive impairment in AD and PD [13, 14]. Therefore, WMH is a confounding factor when assessing PD-related cognitive impairment, which is often ignored in previous studies. Furthermore, differences in disease stages among PD patients from different studies may also be the reason for the inconsistent results.

In this study, we aimed to investigate the effect of APOEɛ4 on cognitive impairment in an early-stage PD cohort after adjusting the influence of WMHs. We also analyzed the relationship between carrying APOEɛ4 and cognitive decline in early-stage and advanced PD patients from Parkinson's Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI).

Methods

Patients

A total of 119 PD patients of Han Chinese ethnicity were recruited from Department of Neurology, the Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital from July 2020 to May 2023. The patients included 67 men and 52 women, with mean age of 65.04 ± 8.46 . All patients were diagnosed according to the movement disorder society (MDS) clinical diagnostic criteria for PD [15]. To better reflect the status of the early stage, only PD patients with Hoehn and Yahr stage ≤ 2 , disease duration ≤ 4 years, and without motor complications were included. Because some hereditary PD patients who carry certain mutated genes (such as *SNCA* and *GBA*) are more susceptible to cognitive impairment, patients with family history of PD or secondary and atypical PD were excluded from the study. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University. All participants signed written informed consents.

Clinical evaluations

Demographic and clinical information including age, age at onset, gender, disease duration, education history and levodopa equivalent daily doses (LEDD) were collected by face-to-face interview. Neurological and neuropsychological test including Hoehn and Yahr scale, MDS-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS), Hamilton anxiety scale (HAMA), Hamilton depression scale (HAMD), non-motor symptoms scale (NMSS), Mini-mental State Examination (MMSE), and Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) were assessed by welltrained investigators. All patients were evaluated in the "off" medication state [16]. Fazekas scale [17] was used to quantify the white matter hyperintense lesions in fluid attenuated inversion recovery magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain.

Genotyping

Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood samples of PD patients. Polymerase chain reactions (PCRs) were carried out by using a commercial PCR kit (Qingke, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. DNA fragment containing single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs429358 and rs7412 was amplified by using primer pairs 5'-ACT GGA GGA ACA ACT GAC CCC-3' and 5'-CGC TCC TGT AGC GGC TGG-3'. Then, the 2 SNPs were determined by direct sequencing (Qingke, Beijing, China). The ε 2, ε 3 and ε 4 alleles of APOE were identified based on rs429358 and rs7412 as previously described [7]. Each of the patients was classified into subtypes of ApoE ε 4 carriers and ApoE ε 4 noncarriers based on the APOE genotypes.

PPMI cohort

The PPMI is an observational international multicenter study aimed at exploring the relationship between biological markers and PD progression [18]. Demographic information, APOE genotypes, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers, neurological and neuropsychological test including MDS-UPDRS, MoCA, Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test (BJLOT), Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-revised (HVLT), Letter–Number Sequencing (LNS), Semantic fluency, Symbol digit modalities test (SDMT), Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic (SCOPA), State–trait anxiety inventory (STAI), and Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS) were obtained from the PPMI database (https://www.ppmi-info.org/access-dataspecimens/download-data/). Consistent with our cohort, PD patients meeting Hoehn and Yahr stage ≤ 2 , disease duration ≤ 4 years, and without motor complications were defined as early stage.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical package of Predictive Analytics Software 19.0. Chi-square test was performed to compare categorical variables between groups. Mann–Whitney U test or independent samples *t*-tests was performed to compare continuous variables between groups according to the normality. Linear regression analysis was used to study the effect of APOE&4 on MDS-UPDRS scores after adjusting the age, sex and disease duration. The effect of APOE&4 on cognitive performance was analyzed after adjusting the age, sex, disease duration, education history and Fazekas score. A two-tailed P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic and clinical data of PD patients

The 119 early-stage PD patients with Hoehn and Yahr stage ≤ 2 comprised 98 ApoE&4 non-carriers and 21 ApoE&4 carriers. Age, age at onset, gender, disease duration, education history, LEDD, Hoehn and Yahr stage and Fazekas scores were comparable between two groups (P > 0.05; Table 1). Multivariate analysis after adjusting age, sex and disease duration showed that carrying ApoE&4 was not significantly associated with MDS-UPDRS, NMSS, HAMA and HAMD scores in the early-stage PD patients (P > 0.05; Table 1). In consideration of the impact of education and cerebral ischemia on cognition, we further adjusted the years of education and Fazekas score. However, the results also suggested no significant effect of ApoE&4 carriage on MMSE and MOCA scores, as well as their domains (P > 0.05; Table 1).

Demographic and clinical data in PPMI cohorts

A total of 521 early-stage and 262 advanced PD patients were enrolled in our study. They were assigned to ApoE ϵ 4 carriers and ApoE ϵ 4 non-carriers groups, respectively.

The early-stage PD patients comprised 398 ApoEe4 non-carriers and 123 ApoEe4 carriers. Education history, Hoehn and Yahr stage distribution, and MDS-UPDRS were comparable between the two groups (P > 0.05; Table 2). In contrast, significant differences were present in age (P=0.019), age at onset (P=0.027), gender (P=0.029) and disease duration (P=0.030). Multivariate analysis after adjusting age, sex and disease duration showed that CSF Amyloid Beta 42 (Aβ42) level was significantly lower in ApoEe4 carrier group than in ApoEɛ4 non-carriers group (655.10 interquartile range, 507.15-899.70 vs. 881.30 interquartile range, 678.00-1207.00; P < 0.001; Table 2). While no significant difference was found in α -synuclein level, phosphorylated tau (P-tau) level, total tau (T-tau) level, Aβ42/T-tau ratio, $A\beta 42/\alpha$ -synuclein ratio, P-tau/ α -synuclein between the two groups (P > 0.05; Table 2). The effect of ApoE ϵ 4 carriage on cognitive performance including MoCA, BJLOT, HVLT total recall, HVLT delayed recall, HVLT retention, HVLT recognition discrimination index, LNS, semantic fluency and SDMT was analyzed by linear regression analysis after adjusted with age, sex, disease duration and education history. However, the results showed that none of them displayed significant association with ApoEe4 carriage (P > 0.05; Table 2).

The advance PD patients comprised 205 ApoE ϵ 4 non-carriers and 57 ApoE ϵ 4 carriers. Age, age at onset, education history, Hoehn and Yahr stage distribution, MDS-UPDRS, SCOPA, STAI and GDS were comparable between the two groups (P>0.05; Table 3). Significant differences were present in gender (P=0.025) and disease duration (P=0.025). Similar to patients with early-stage PD, further results showed that cognitive performances were not associated with ApoE ϵ 4 carriage after adjusting age, sex, disease duration and education history. However, the BJLOT, HVLT retention, and SDMT seem to be lower in ApoE ϵ 4 carriers, though the differences did not reach the statistical significance (P=0.067, P=0.084 & P=0.062, respectively; Table 3).

Discussion

Cognitive impairment in PD is common, and multiple environmental and genetic factors are believed to be involved in its pathogenesis. ApoE ϵ 4 is an important risk factor for the development of AD, and its role in cognitive dysfunction in PD cannot be ignored. In the current study, we compared the cognitive function of ApoE ϵ 4 carriers and non-carriers in a cohort of 119 early-stage PD patients. The results showed no significant difference in cognitive performance between the two groups. We further analyzed the relationship between cognitive function and ApoE ϵ 4 carriage in patients with earlystage and advanced PD from PPMI. Consistent with our results, early-stage PD patients who carry ApoE ϵ 4 do not experience more significant cognitive decline than noncarriers. However, their CSF A β 42 level was significantly

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of PD patients

	ApoEε4 non-carriers	ApoEɛ4 carrier	Р	В	P *
Subject, n (%)	98 (82.4)	21 (17.6)	_	_	-
Characteristics					
Age, mean±SD	65.41±8.52	63.33±8.18	0.310 ^a	-	-
Age at onset, mean \pm SD	63.37±8.48	61.14±8.06	0.274 ^a	-	-
Gender, F/M	44/54	8/13	0.568 ^b	-	-
Duration, years (IR)	2.00 (1.00-3.00)	2.00 (1.00-3.00)	0.548 ^c	-	-
Education history, years (IR)	5.00 (2.50-8.00)	5.00 (0-8.00)	0.624 ^c	-	-
LEDD, mg/day (IR)	0 (0-206.25)	0 (0-212.50)	0.803 ^c	-	-
Hoehn and Yahr, I/II	31/67	7/14	0.879 ^b	-	-
Fazekas	2.00 (1.00-4.00)	3.00 (1.75-4.00)	0.606 ^c	-	-
Motor and non-motor symptoms					
MDS-UPDRS total, mean \pm SD	44.39±20.28	47.76±21.87	0.496 ^a	0.891	0.439 ^d
MDS-UPDRS I, mean ± SD	8.23±4.93	9.24±5.10	0.398 ^a	0.231	0.437 ^d
MDS-UPDRS II, mean±SD	9.99±5.64	11.33±6.89	0.344 ^a	0.311	0.361 ^d
MDS-UPDRS III, mean ± SD	25.57±13.68	26.81±13.50	0.707 ^a	0.389	0.607 ^d
HAMD, (IR)	6.00 (3.00-10.00)	6.00 (2.00-10.00)	0.986 ^c	-0.107	0.770 ^d
HAMA, mean±SD	8.54±5.72	8.67±5.03	0.923 ^a	- 0.060	0.855 ^d
NMSS, (IR)	22.50 (12.00-43.00)	35.00 (12.50-46.00)	0.481 ^c	0.431	0.806 ^d
Cognitive testing					
MMSE	27.00 (23.00–29.00)	28.00 (24.50-29.00)	0.648 ^c	0.072	0.807 ^e
Orientation, (IR)	10.00 (8.00-10.00)	10.00 (10.00-10.00)	0.086 ^c	0.142	0.282 ^e
Memory, (IR)	6.00 (5.00-6.00)	5.00 (4.00-6.00)	0.512 ^c	-0.078	0.316 ^e
Attention, (IR)	4.00 (3.00-5.00)	5.00 (3.00-5.00)	0.563 ^c	0.019	0.849 ^e
Language, (IR)	7.00 (6.00-8.00)	7.00 (6.00-8.00)	0.812 ^c	0.048	0.618 ^e
Construction, (IR)	1.00 (0-1.00)	1.00 (0-1.00)	0.571 ^c	0.028	0.361 ^e
MoCA, mean ± SD	21.51±5.71	21.93±5.39	0.796 ^a	-0.356	0.340 ^e
Memory, mean ± SD	8.32 ± 2.59	8.20 ± 1.97	0.868 ^a	-0.194	0.275 ^e
Visuospatial, mean \pm SD	2.26 ± 1.32	2.47 ± 1.51	0.612 ^a	- 0.051	0.575 ^e
Language, (IR)	4.00 (3.50-5.00)	5.00 (3.00-5.00)	0.561 ^c	- 0.035	0.708 ^e
Attention, (IR)	4.00 (3.00-4.00)	4.00 (3.00-4.00)	0.846 ^c	-0.028	0.659 ^e
Executive, (IR)	2.00 (1.00-3.00)	2.00 (2.00-3.00)	0.195 ^c	0.026	0.750 ^e

ApoE apolipoprotein E, F female, HAMA Hamilton anxiety scale, HAMD Hamilton depression scale, IR interquartile range, LEDD L-dopa equivalent daily dosage, M male, MDS-UPDRS Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale, MMSE Mini-mental State Examination, MoCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment, PD Parkinson's disease, SD standard deviation

* Analyzed by multiple linear regression

^a Analyzed by unpaired two-tailed t-test

^b Analyzed by Chi-square test

^c Analyzed by Mann–Whitney U test

^d Adjusted with age, sex and duration

^e Adjusted with age, sex, duration, education history and Fazekas score

lower than that of non-carriers. In advanced PD patients, the BJLOT, HVLT retention and SDMT scores seem to be lower in ApoEɛ4 carriers without reach the statistical significance.

A proportion of PD patients are complicated by cognitive dysfunction, ranging from subjective cognitive decline (SCD) and mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to PD dementia (PDD) [5, 6]. The cognitive changes are global and particularly prominent in executive function, visuospatial ability and Verbal Fluency [5, 19, 20]. The mechanisms underlying PD-related cognitive impairment remain unclear. The most important neuropathology is the deposition of α -synuclein in Lewy bodies, which can spread in a prion-like way, and is accompanied by a series of neurotransmitter changes. Cognitive impairment often occurs when the pathology affects the neocortical and limbic lobes [5, 6]. Interestingly, studies showed that coexisting AD pathology

Table 2 Demographic and clinical characteristics of early-stage PD patients in PPMI cohort

	Subject, <i>n</i> (ε4–/ε4+)	ApoE ₂ 4 non-carriers	ApoEɛ4 carrier	Р	В	P *
Characteristics						
Age, (IR)	521 (398/123)	63.00 (56.00–69.00)	61.00 (54.00–68.00)	0.019 ^a	-	-
Age at onset, (IR)	521 (398/123)	62.00 (54.70-67.40)	59.30 (52.10–66.30)	0.027 ^a	-	-
Gender, F/M	521 (398/123)	160/238	87/36	0.029 ^b	-	-
Duration, years (IR)	521 (398/123)	1.50 (1.90–2.40)	1.20 (0.80–2.00)	0.030 ^a	-	-
Education history, years (IR)	509 (388/121)	16.00 (14.00–18.00)	16.00 (13.00–18.00)	0.663 ^a	-	-
Hoehn and Yahr, I/II	521 (398/123)	156/242	53/70	0.441 ^b	-	-
Motor and non-motor symptoms						
MDS-UPDRS Total, mean \pm SD	520 (397/123)	27.28±11.64	27.12±12.16	0.895 ^c	-0.008	0.980 ^d
MDS-UPDRS I, (IR)	521 (398/123)	1.00 (0-2.00)	1.00 (0-2.00)	0.796 ^a	0.006	0.881 ^d
MDS-UPDRS II, (IR)	520 (397/123)	5.00 (2.00-8.00)	5.00 (3.00-8.00)	0.286 ^a	0.099	0.368 ^d
MDS-UPDRS III, (IR)	521 (398/123)	19.00 (14.00–26.00)	19.00 (13.00–25.00)	0.371 ^a	-0.115	0.622 ^d
CSF biomarkers						
α-Synuclein, pg/ml (IR)	404 (306/98)	1429.95 (1078.60–1852.48)	1275.00 (943.30–1708.95)	0.030 ^a	- 36.466	0.060 ^d
Aβ42, pg/ml (IR)	400 (303/97)	881.30 (678.00–1207.00)	655.10 (507.15–899.70)	$< 0.001^{a}$	- 51.195	< 0.001 ^d
P-tau, pg/ml (IR)	419 (323/96)	13.36 (11.05–17.09)	14.58 (10.94–18.39)	0.263 ^a	0.259	0.087 ^d
T-tau, pg/ml (IR)	442 (337/105)	158.60 (126.75–199.35)	158.69 (121.40–212.25)	0.768 ^a	1.517	0.356 ^d
Aβ42/T-tau, mean±SD	401 (351/50)	5.54 ± 1.63	5.07 ± 1.67	0.060 ^c	-0.099	0.116 ^d
Aβ42/α-synuclein, (IR)	401 (350/51)	0.63 (0.50–0.73)	0.57 (0.49–0.66)	0.092 ^a	-0.011	0.242 ^d
P-tau/α-synuclein, (IR)	403 (353/50)	0.01 (0.01–0.01)	0.01 (0.01-0.01)	0.294 ^a	-6.463×10^{-5}	0.525 ^d
Cognitive testing						
MoCA, (IR)	521 (398/123)	27.00 (25.00–29.00)	28.00 (26.00–29.00)	0.124 ^a	0.032	0.643 ^e
BJLOT, (IR)	521 (398/123)	12.20 (9.60–14.10)	12.20 (9.60–14.00)	0.729 ^a	-0.089	0.265 ^e
HVLT total recall, mean \pm SD	521 (398/123)	45.45 ± 10.62	43.48±11.45	0.078 ^c	-0.166	0.533 ^e
HVLT delayed recall, (IR)	521 (398/123)	45.00 (37.00–55.00)	42.00 (36.00-52.00)	0.182 ^a	-0.133	0.640 ^e
HVLT retention, (IR)	521 (398/123)	48.50 (40.00–56.00)	47.00 (39.00–55.00)	0.136 ^a	-0.313	0.315 ^e
HVLT recognition discrimination index, (IR)	521 (398/123)	45.00 (37.00–53.00)	45.00 (36.00–53.00)	0.433 ^a	-0.203	0.493 ^e
LNS, (IR)	521 (398/123)	11.50 (10.00–13.00)	11.00 (10.00–13.00)	0.807 ^a	0.029	0.692 ^e
Semantic fluency, mean \pm SD	521 (398/123)	50.38±10.77	51.50 ± 9.48	0.302 ^c	0.314	0.261 ^e
SDMT, (IR)	521 (398/123)	41.00 (33.00-47.00)	41.00 (33.00-46.00)	0.808 ^a	-0.142	0.540 ^e

Aβ42 amyloid beta 42, ApoE apolipoprotein E, BJLOT Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test, CSF cerebrospinal fluid, F female, HVLT Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-revised, IR interquartile range, LNS Letter–Number Sequencing, M male, MDS-UPDRS Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale, MoCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment, P-tau phosphorylated tau, PD Parkinson's disease, PPMI Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative, SD standard deviation, SDMT symbol digit modalities test, T-tau total tau

* Analyzed by multiple linear regression

^a Analyzed by Mann–Whitney U test

^b Analyzed by Chi-square test

^c Analyzed by unpaired two-tailed *t*-test

^d Adjusted with age, sex and duration

^e Adjusted with age, sex, duration and education history

contribute to the development of cognitive decline in PD [21, 22].

ApoE ϵ 4 is the strongest genetic risk factor for lateonset AD. Studies showed that APOE ϵ 4 is associated with cerebral A β deposition in AD and non-AD dementia patients [23]. It also contributes to the development of cognitive dysfunction by affecting tau-induced neurodegeneration, glia reactions, and blood–brain barrier [9]. Furthermore, recent studies found that APOE ϵ 4 can exacerbate α -synuclein pathology and accelerate cognitive decline [24–26]. Therefore, it is of great value to explore the relationship between APOE status and cognitive function in PD patients.

So far, many studies have explored the relationship between APOE polymorphisms and PD-related cognitive impairment. But the results are controversial. Some

	Subject, <i>n</i> (ε4–/ε4+)	ApoEɛ4 non-carriers	ApoEɛ4 carrier	Р	В	P *
Characteristics						
Age, mean±SD	262 (205/57)	69.86±8.68	69.16±8.95	0.590 ^a	-	-
Age at onset, mean \pm SD	252 (199/53)	61.91±9.32	62.77±9.16	0.546 ^a	-	-
Gender, F/M	262 (205/57)	110/95	21/36	0.025 ^b	-	-
Duration, years (IR)	252 (199/53)	7.00 (5.00-11.00)	6.00 (4.00-9.00)	0.025 ^c	-	-
Education history, years (IR)	258 (202/56)	16.00 (12.75–18.00)	15.50 (13.25–18.00)	0.799 ^c	-	-
Hoehn and Yahr, III/IV/V	262 (205/57)	186/16/3	57/0/0	0.058 ^b	-	-
Motor and non-motor symptoms						
MDS-UPDRS Total, mean \pm SD	214 (163/51)	56.40 ± 21.12	57.26±16.78	0.792 ^a	0.176	0.831 ^d
MDS-UPDRS I, (IR)	259 (202/57)	2.50 (1.00-5.00)	3.00 (1.00-5.50)	0.842 ^c	0.011	0.931 ^d
MDS-UPDRS II, mean±SD	259 (202/57)	14.92±7.84	15.28±6.78	0.750 ^a	0.101	0.737 ^d
MDS-UPDRS III, mean±SD	216 (165/51)	35.72±13.49	36.63±11.33	0.662 ^a	0.054	0.920 ^d
MDS-UPDRS IV, (IR)	214 (162/52)	3.00 (0-6.00)	4.00 (0-6.00)	0.270 ^c	0.205	0.202 ^d
Motor fluctuations, mean \pm SD	214(162/52)	0.83±1.32	0.87±1.33	0.880 ^a	0.034	0.537 ^d
Dyskinesias, mean \pm SD	214 (162/52)	2.72±3.11	3.27±3.33	0.280 ^a	0.171	0.203 ^d
SCOPA, (IR)	151 (111/40)	15.00 (9.00-21.00)	13.00 (8.25–19.00)	0.378 ^c	-0.454	0.274 ^d
STAI, (IR)	152 (112/40)	91.50 (85.00–97.00)	91.00 (83.00–96.75)	0.400 ^c	-0.386	0.451 ^d
GDS, (IR)	152 (112/40)	6.00 (5.00-7.00)	7.00 (4.25–7.75)	0.644 ^c	0.008	0.940 ^d
Cognitive testing						
MoCA, (IR)	110 (88/22)	26.00 (23.00-28.00)	25.00 (22.75–27.25)	0.556 ^c	0.093	0.722 ^e
BJLOT, mean ± SD	103 (80/23)	10.12±3.28	8.97±3.81	0.156 ^a	-0.392	0.067 ^e
HVLT total recall, mean \pm SD	105 (81/24)	45.56±11.11	40.79±13.41	0.082 ^a	-0.626	0.338 ^e
HVLT delayed recall, mean \pm SD	105 (81/24)	44.91±11.98	39.29±13.57	0.053 ^a	-1.072	0.147 ^e
HVLT retention, mean \pm SD	105 (81/24)	46.28±12.54	41.08±13.99	0.085 ^a	- 1.358	0.084 ^e
HVLT recognition discrimination index, mean±SD	105 (81/24)	46.99±11.74	44.83±13.12	0.444 ^a	0.094	0.890 ^e
LNS, (IR)	104 (81/23)	10.00 (8.00–13.00)	10.00 (5.00–14.00)	0.376 ^c	-0.220	0.318 ^e
Semantic fluency, mean \pm SD	105 (81/24)	49.46±12.11	47.75±13.06	0.553 ^a	-0.337	0.662 ^e
SDMT, mean ± SD	119 (92/27)	33.52±13.57	26.82 ± 12.56	0.024 ^a	- 1.139	0.062 ^e

Table 3 Demographic and clinical characteristics of advanced PD patients in PPMI cohort

ApoE apolipoprotein E, BJLOT Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test, F female, GDS Geriatric Depression Scale, HVLT Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-revised, IR interquartile range, LNS Letter–Number Sequencing, M male, MDS-UPDRS Movement Disorder Society-Unified Parkinson's disease Rating Scale, MoCA Montreal Cognitive Assessment, PD Parkinson's disease, PPMI Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative, SCOPA Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic, SD standard deviation, SDMT symbol digit modalities test, STAI state–trait anxiety inventory

* Analyzed by multiple linear regression

^a Analyzed by unpaired two-tailed t-test

^b Analyzed by Chi-square test

^c Analyzed by Mann–Whitney U test

^d Adjusted with age, sex and duration

^e Adjusted with age, sex, duration and education history

studies showed that APOEɛ4 carriage increases the risk of cognitive impairment in PD patients [10, 27], while other studies denied the association [12, 28]. Heterogeneity among PD patients is a factor that must be taken into consideration. On the other hand, WMHs are a common finding on brain MRI of elderly, which are often thought to aggravate cognitive impairment in patients with PD and AD [13, 14]. It is worth noting that previous studies exploring the relationship between APOEɛ4 and cognitive impairment in PD did not consider WMHs as a confounding factor. Therefore, in the current study, we included only early-stage PD patients and adjusted WMHs by using Fazekas scale in the analysis. The results showed that in early-stage PD patients, carrying APOEe4 did not affect cognitive performance. Similar results were found in early-stage PD patients from PPMI, although they did not correct the Fazekas score due to the lack of data. It should be taken into consideration that the cognitive battery of tests used for the Chinese cohort (MoCA and MMSE) were not the same as for the PPMI cohort, which included the MoCA, BJLOT, HVLT, LNS, Semantic fluency, and SDMT. This difference could induce a bias in underestimating minor cognitive impairment in the early Chinese cohort. Additionally, the MoCA scores of early PD patients in the Chinese population were lower than those from PPMI cohort, which may be result from the shorter education duration in our population.

Highlighted should be that we found the CSF A β 42 level is lower in PD patients with APOEE4 even in the early stage, though no cognitive changes were observed at that time. Lower CSF Aβ42 level is linked to cortical A β plaques and is a core biomarker for AD and MCI due to AD [29]. Researches showed that decreased CSF A β 42 can be detected in the preclinical stage of AD patients [30]. Previous studies also showed that PD patients who carry APOEɛ4 have lower CSF Aβ42 level and worse cognitive function than non-carriers [31, 32]. Our study further demonstrates the impact of APOE ϵ 4 on CSF A β 42 in PD patients even in the absence of obvious cognitive impairment. It would be interesting to further explore relationship between APOE genotypes, CSF Aβ42, and cognitive changes in patients with advanced PD. Unfortunately, only 24 patients with advanced PD from PPMI had CSF biomarkers measured.

Advanced stage of PD usually means patients have more complex symptoms and greater impairment in their daily life. This stage is often defined in practice according to the Hoehn and Yahr stage, duration of the disease, motor complications, and non-motor symptoms [33–36]. In this study, we defined PD patients who met Hoehn and Yahr stage ≤ 2 , disease duration ≤ 4 years, and without motor complications as early stage, and those who did not meet these criteria as advanced stage. To further clarify whether the effect of APOEɛ4 on CSF Aβ42 further affects the cognition function of PD patients, we analyzed the relationship between APOEɛ4 carrying and cognitive performance in advanced PD patients from PPMI. Our results indicated that APOEE4 carriers seem to have lower BJLOT, HVLT retention and SDMT scores, although the differences did not reach the traditional statistical significance, which is probably due to the relatively small sample size. The lack of PD patients with Hoehn and Yahr stage IV and V in APOEɛ4 group and difference in disease duration between the two groups were a pity. Our results suggest that visuospatial judgment, memory and information processing speed reflected by BJLOT, HVLT retention and SDMT may be the preferentially affected cognitive domains in PD patients with APOEɛ4. A real cohort of advanced PD or a longitudinal observation is required to verify the conclusion.

Meanwhile, we have to acknowledge that our study lacks longitudinal observation, the presence of which would otherwise enhance the reliability. Future study with larger population and longitudinal observation are warranted to confirm our findings.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that carrying APOE ϵ 4 does not affect the cognitive function of earlystage PD patients, but it is associated with a decrease in their CSF A β 42, which is likely to promote the decline of cognitive function in PD patients in the advanced stage.

Abbreviations

4β42	Amyloid beta 42
٩D	Alzheimer's disease
APOE	Apolipoprotein E
BJLOT	Benton Judgment of Line Orientation Test
CSF	Cerebrospinal fluid
GBA	Glucosylceramidase
GDS	Geriatric Depression Scale
HAMA	Hamilton anxiety scale
HAMD	Hamilton depression scale
HVLT	Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-revised
EDD	Levodopa equivalent daily doses
_NS	Letter–Number Sequencing
_RRK2	Leucine-rich repeat serine/threonine-protein kinase 2
MAPT	Microtubule-associated protein tau
MCI	Mild cognitive impairment
MDS	Movement Disorder Society
MDS-UPDRS	MDS-Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale
MMSE	Mini-mental State Examination
MoCA	Montreal Cognitive Assessment
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
NMSS	Non-motor symptoms scale
PCRs	Polymerase chain reactions
PD	Parkinson's disease
PDD	PD dementia
PPMI	Parkinson's Progression Marker Initiative
SCD	Subjective cognitive decline
SCOPA	Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's disease-Autonomic
SDMT	Semantic fluency, and Symbol digit modalities test
SNCA	a-Synuclein
SNPs	Single nucleotide polymorphisms
STAI	State-trait anxiety inventory
ММН	White matter hyperintensity

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to all of the subjects who participated in the study.

Author contributions

S-GZ, Z-LC, and J-YW conceived the idea; Z-LC, KX, and Z-WW conducted experiments; S-GZ, XZ, S-SH, and J-YW examined the patients; S-GZ, Z-LC, W-BL, and R-PL collected samples, acquired and analyzed the data; Z-LC and J-YW wrote the manuscript; XZ, J-HZ, and J-YW supervised the study. All the authors have read, edited, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The study was supported in part by funding from Zhejiang Provincial Medical Technology Program (2023RC215) and Wenzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau (Y20220162).

Availability of data and materials

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University. All participants signed written informed consents.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no actual or potential conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Neurology, Institute of Geriatric Neurology, the Second Affiliated Hospital and Yuying Children's Hospital, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325027, Zhejiang, China. ²Department of Preventive Medicine, Institute of Nutrition and Diseases, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325035, Zhejiang, China.

Received: 30 March 2024 Accepted: 6 June 2024 Published online: 16 June 2024

References

- 1. Kalia LV, Lang AE. Parkinson's disease. Lancet. 2015;386:896-912.
- Aarsland D, Zaccai J, Brayne C. A systematic review of prevalence studies of dementia in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2005;20:1255–63.
- Hely MA, Reid WG, Adena MA, Halliday GM, Morris JG. The Sydney multicenter study of Parkinson's disease: the inevitability of dementia at 20 years. Mov Disord. 2008;23:837–44.
- Aarsland D, Andersen K, Larsen JP, Lolk A, Kragh-Sorensen P. Prevalence and characteristics of dementia in Parkinson disease: an 8-year prospective study. Arch Neurol. 2003;60:387–92.
- Aarsland D, Batzu L, Halliday GM, Geurtsen GJ, Ballard C, Ray Chaudhuri K, et al. Parkinson disease-associated cognitive impairment. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2021;7:47.
- Aarsland D, Creese B, Politis M, Chaudhuri KR, Ffytche DH, Weintraub D, et al. Cognitive decline in Parkinson disease. Nat Rev Neurol. 2017;13:217–31.
- Mahley RW, Innerarity TL, Rall SC Jr, Weisgraber KH. Plasma lipoproteins: apolipoprotein structure and function. J Lipid Res. 1984;25:1277–94.
- Fernandez-Calle R, Konings SC, Frontinan-Rubio J, Garcia-Revilla J, Camprubi-Ferrer L, Svensson M, et al. APOE in the bullseye of neurodegenerative diseases: impact of the APOE genotype in Alzheimer's disease pathology and brain diseases. Mol Neurodegener. 2022;17:62.
- Serrano-Pozo A, Das S, Hyman BT. APOE and Alzheimer's disease: advances in genetics, pathophysiology, and therapeutic approaches. Lancet Neurol. 2021;20:68–80.
- Monsell SE, Besser LM, Heller KB, Checkoway H, Litvan I, Kukull WA. Clinical and pathologic presentation in Parkinson's disease by apolipoprotein e4 allele status. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2014;20:503–7.
- Kim R, Shin JH, Park S, Kim HJ, Jeon B. Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 genotype and risk of freezing of gait in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2020;81:173–8.
- Mengel D, Dams J, Ziemek J, Becker J, Balzer-Geldsetzer M, Hilker R, et al. Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 does not affect cognitive performance in patients with Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2016;29:112–6.
- Garnier-Crussard A, Bougacha S, Wirth M, Dautricourt S, Sherif S, Landeau B, et al. White matter hyperintensity topography in Alzheimer's disease and links to cognition. Alzheimers Dement. 2022;18:422–33.
- Liu H, Deng B, Xie F, Yang X, Xie Z, Chen Y, et al. The influence of white matter hyperintensity on cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. Ann Clin Transl Neurol. 2021;8:1917–34.
- Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M, Poewe W, Olanow CW, Oertel W, et al. MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2015;30:1591–601.
- Bordelon YM, Hays RD, Vassar SD, Diaz N, Bronstein J, Vickrey BG. Medication responsiveness of motor symptoms in a population-based study of Parkinson disease. Parkinsons Dis. 2011;2011: 967839.

- Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI, Zimmerman RA. MR signal abnormalities at 1.5 T in Alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1987;149:351–6.
- Parkinson Progression Marker I. The Parkinson Progression Marker Initiative (PPMI). Prog Neurobiol. 2011;95:629–35.
- Wallace ER, Segerstrom SC, van Horne CG, Schmitt FA, Koehl LM. Metaanalysis of cognition in Parkinson's disease mild cognitive impairment and dementia progression. Neuropsychol Rev. 2022;32:149–60.
- El-Nazer R, Adler CH, Beach TG, Belden CM, Artz J, Shill HA, et al. Regional neuropathology distribution and verbal fluency impairments in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2019;65:73–8.
- Smith C, Malek N, Grosset K, Cullen B, Gentleman S, Grosset DG. Neuropathology of dementia in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review of autopsy studies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2019;90:1234–43.
- 22. Halliday GM, Leverenz JB, Schneider JS, Adler CH. The neurobiological basis of cognitive impairment in Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2014;29:634–50.
- Jansen WJ, Ossenkoppele R, Knol DL, Tijms BM, Scheltens P, Verhey FR, et al. Prevalence of cerebral amyloid pathology in persons without dementia: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2015;313:1924–38.
- 24. Zhao N, Attrebi ON, Ren Y, Qiao W, Sonustun B, Martens YA, et al. APOE4 exacerbates alpha-synuclein pathology and related toxicity independent of amyloid. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12:eaay1809.
- Davis AA, Inman CE, Wargel ZM, Dube U, Freeberg BM, Galluppi A, et al. APOE genotype regulates pathology and disease progression in synucleinopathy. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12:eaay3069.
- Dickson DW, Heckman MG, Murray ME, Soto AI, Walton RL, Diehl NN, et al. APOE epsilon4 is associated with severity of Lewy body pathology independent of Alzheimer pathology. Neurology. 2018;91:e1182–95.
- Szwedo AA, Dalen I, Pedersen KF, Camacho M, Backstrom D, Forsgren L, et al. GBA and APOE impact cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease: a 10-year population-based study. Mov Disord. 2022;37:1016–27.
- Camicioli R, Rajput A, Rajput M, Reece C, Payami H, Hao C, et al. Apolipoprotein E epsilon4 and catechol-O-methyltransferase alleles in autopsy-proven Parkinson's disease: relationship to dementia and hallucinations. Mov Disord. 2005;20:989–94.
- Olsson B, Lautner R, Andreasson U, Ohrfelt A, Portelius E, Bjerke M, et al. CSF and blood biomarkers for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Neurol. 2016;15:673–84.
- Salvado G, Larsson V, Cody KA, Cullen NC, Jonaitis EM, Stomrud E, et al. Optimal combinations of CSF biomarkers for predicting cognitive decline and clinical conversion in cognitively unimpaired participants and mild cognitive impairment patients: a multi-cohort study. Alzheimers Dement. 2023;19:2943–55.
- Zenuni H, Bovenzi R, Bissacco J, Grillo P, Simonetta C, Mascioli D, et al. Clinical and neurochemical correlates of the APOE genotype in early-stage Parkinson's disease. Neurobiol Aging. 2023;131:24–8.
- Koros C, Brockmann K, Simitsi AM, Bougea A, Liu H, Hauser AK, et al. Impact of APOE Genotype on cognition in idiopathic and genetic forms of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2023;38:907–9.
- Adler CH, Beach TG, Hentz JG, Shill HA, Caviness JN, Driver-Dunckley E, et al. Low clinical diagnostic accuracy of early vs advanced Parkinson disease: clinicopathologic study. Neurology. 2014;83:406–12.
- 34. Waters CH. Treatment of advanced stage patients with Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord. 2002;9:15–21.
- Stefani A, Tessitore A, Tambasco N, Cossu G, Ceravolo MG, Defazio G, et al. Criteria for identification of advanced Parkinson's disease: the results of the Italian subgroup of OBSERVE-PD observational study. BMC Neurol. 2022;2:41.
- Suppa A, Costantini G, Asci F, Di Leo P, Al-Wardat MS, Di Lazzaro G, et al. Voice in Parkinson's disease: a machine learning study. Front Neurol. 2022;13: 831428.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.