RESEARCH

Open Access



Exploring the inverse relationship between serum total bilirubin and systemic immune-inflammation index: insights from NHANES data (2009–2018)

Shan-Shan Huang¹, Yi Ding¹, Xiao-Na Yi¹, Hai-Yan Mao¹, Zhen-Ye Xie¹, Xing-Kai Shen¹, Yan Lu², Jing Yan^{2*}, You-Wei Wang^{3*} and Zhou-Xin Yang^{2*}

Abstract

Background Bilirubin is known for its multifaceted attributes, including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, and antiapoptotic properties. The systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) is a recent marker that reflects the balance between inflammation and immune response. Despite the wealth of information available on bilirubin's diverse functionalities, the potential correlation between the total bilirubin (TB) levels and SII has not been investigated so far.

Methods Leveraging data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey spanning 2009–2018, the TB levels were categorized using tertiles. Employing the chi-squared test with Rao and Scott's second-order correction and Spearman's rank correlation analysis, the association between TB and SII was examined. The potential nonlinearities between TB and SII were evaluated using restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis. Weighted linear regression, adjusted for covariates, was used to explore the correlation between TB and SII, with further subgroup analyses.

Results A total of 16,858 participants were included, and the findings revealed significant SII variations across TB tertiles (p < 0.001). The third tertile (Q3) exhibited the lowest SII level at 495.73 (295.00) 1000 cells/µL. Spearman rank correlation disclosed the negative association between TB and SII. RCS analysis exposed the lack of statistically significant variations in the nonlinear relationship (p > 0.05), thereby providing support for a linear relationship. Weighted linear regression analysis underscored the negative correlation between TB and SII (β 95% CI – 3.9 [– 5.0 to – 2.9], p < 0.001). The increase in the TB levels is associated with a significant linear trend toward decreasing SII. After controlling for relative covariates, this negative correlation increased (p < 0.001). Subgroup analysis confirmed the significant negative TB–SII association.

Conclusion A notable negative correlation between TB and SII implies the potential protective effects of bilirubin in inflammation-related diseases.

Keywords Total bilirubin, Systemic immune-inflammation index, Relationship, NHANES

*Correspondence: Jing Yan yanjing201801@163.com You-Wei Wang youwei.wang@tju.edu.cn Zhou-Xin Yang yangzhouxin@hotmail.com Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Inflammation is a versatile and evolutionarily conserved physiological mechanism that responds to microbial invasion and triggers a preemptive program during tissue damage [1]. Inflammation serves as the foundation for various physiological and pathological processes and is regarded as the "common ground" for multifactorial diseases, such as chronic inflammatory rheumatic diseases, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, neurodegenerative disorders, obesity, cancer, asthma, and aging [2–4].

Presently, various clinical indicators are used to characterize the acute or chronic inflammatory states associated with diverse diseases, including C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, white blood cell count, neutrophil ratio, interleukin (IL)-6, and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) [5, 6]. In 2014, the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) was initially defined as "lymphocyte count×neutrophil count/platelet count." This index indicates the delicate balance between inflammation and immune response. The elevated SII levels signify an augmented inflammatory state and a compromised immune response [7].

Current clinical research emphasizes the utility of SII as a predictive and evaluative marker for inflammatory diseases. For example, Liu et al. reported that SII was a potential indicator for predicting the severity of acute pancreatitis [8]. Furthermore, SII has been recognized as an independent prognostic marker in individuals with psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis [9]. Moreover, a past study investigated SII as an independent prognostic indicator in the context of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis [10]. In addition, a noteworthy J-shaped association was observed between SII and periodontitis, implying the possibility of an auxiliary therapeutic strategy for periodontal disease [11].

Bilirubin, which is a part of the superfamily of tetrapyrrole compounds, is characterized by its insolubility in water. It has been considered as the ultimate toxic byproduct of heme catabolism in systemic circulation [12]. Bilirubin has been reported to be associated with infant neuronal damage, causing irreversible harm to the brain and central nervous system. Additionally, it is a marker for liver dysfunction [13–15]. An expanding body of evidence suggests that bilirubin transcends its conventional perception and has increasingly been recognized for its antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory, and antiapoptotic properties [16].

In the context of anti-inflammatory investigations, bilirubin has been established to exert inhibitory effects on the upregulation of E-selectin, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1, and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 induced by TNF- α in vitro [17]. Furthermore, a past study have identified a negative correlation between

serum bilirubin levels and the inflammatory marker C-reactive protein [18]. Nevertheless, the relationship between the total bilirubin levels and SII has not been extensively explored. Therefore, in this study, data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) was leveraged to determine the correlation between the bilirubin levels and SII in adult participants.

Methods

Data source and study population

All data for this study was derived from NHANES conducted in the United States. NHANES employs a complex, multistage probability sampling method, providing extensive information on the nutrition and health of the general U.S. population. The data encompass demographic statistics, dietary information, examination results, laboratory findings, questionnaire responses, and restricted-access data. Details about the NHANES database, including study design and data, are publicly available at https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/. The NHANES study was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Research Ethics Review Board, and all survey participants signed informed consent forms. Detailed information about the NCHS Research Ethics Review Board approval can be accessed from the NHANES website (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/ irba98.htm).

This study includes data from NHANES cycles spanning 2009–2018, covering a total of five consecutive periods and involving 49,693 participants. Figure 1 depicts the selection process for this study. The following participants were excluded: [1] 8605 individuals with missing SII data; [2] 9462 individuals with missing total bilirubin (TB) data; [3] 5568 participants under the age of 20; [4] 9000 participants with missing data for relevant variables. Ultimately, 16,858 participants were included in the final analysis.

Measurement of TB and SII

TB was measured in the serum or plasma with the timed endpoint diazo method (Jendrassik-Grof) using DxC800. According to a previous study [7], the SII was calculated by multiplying the platelet count with the neutrophil count and dividing the value by the lymphocyte count. Whole blood cell counts were determined using the Beckman Coulter DxH 800 instrument at the NHANES Mobile Examination Center (MEC) and were expressed as $\times 1000$ cells/µL.





Fig. 1 The selection process for this study (*SII* systemic immune-inflammation index, *TB* total bilirubin)

Study-related covariates

To minimize the potential impact of confounding factors, the following covariates were primarily included: age, sex (male and female), race (Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, other/multiracial), the education level (less than high school, high school, and more than high school), body mass index (BMI, underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese), physical activity (PA, < 600 MET, \geq 600 MET, metabolic equivalent) [19, 20], smoking status (yes or no), drinking status (yes or no), white blood cell count (WBC), neutrophil count (Neu), platelet count (Plt), the level of creatinine, blood potassium level (K), and blood sodium (Na), hypertension (yes or no), and diabetes (yes or no).

Statistical analysis

Weighted methodologies were employed to mitigate data volatility. Continuous variables were analyzed using the chi-squared test with Rao and Scott's second-order correction, and the results were presented in terms of weighted means and standard deviations. Categorical variables were assessed using the Wilcoxon rank–sum test, with the outcomes expressed as weighted percentages. Initial normality testing involved the Kolmogorov– Smirnov test, followed by Spearman's rank correlation analysis for variable relationships. Continuous variable TB was stratified into three quartiles. Weighted linear regression analysis was performed to examine the correlation between TB and SII, with subgroup findings depicted as forest plots. The following three models were utilized: Model 1 (unadjusted), Model 2 (adjusted for age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, and BMI), and Model 3 (age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, hypertension, and diabetes). All data analyses were performed using SPSS 26.0 and R 4.2.3. p < 0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Weighted characteristics of the participants

This study included a total of 16,858 participants, with a weighted average age of 45.96 years. Women constituted 51.89%, and men accounted for 48.11% (Table 1). The weighted median SII was 517.19 (298.64) 1000 cells/ µL. Significant differences in the SII levels were observed across different tertiles based on the TB levels (p < 0.001). Especially, the third tertile (Q3) exhibited the lowest level at 495.73 (295.00) 1000 cells/µL compared with the other two tertiles. Moreover, TB levels were found to be significantly linked to sex, race, BMI, education level, white blood cell count, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, platelet count, the level of creatinine, PA, and potassium, smoking status, hypertension, and diabetes (p < 0.05). Nonetheless, the TB levels were not significantly correlated with age, blood sodium levels, and drinking status (p > 0.05).

Correlation analysis between TB and SII

Correlation analysis was performed to determine the association between the TB levels and SII. Spearmanrank correlation analysis was employed owing to the non-normal distribution of both variables. As shown in Table 2, the Spearman rank correlation coefficient for the entire study population was -0.067 (p < 0.0001), which indicated the presence of a statistically significant negative correlation between the TB levels and SII. To mitigate the potential impact of related covariates on the correlation between the TB levels and SII, a partial correlation analysis was performed. Various factors such as age, BMI, white blood cell count, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, and platelet count were controlled. Except for the white blood cell count factor, in all other cases, the obtained p values were consistently < 0.05, which further confirmed the statistical significance.

Weighted linear regression analysis of TB and SII

To examine the potential nonlinear relationship between the TB levels and SII, restricted cubic spline (RCS) analysis was performed for the following three models: Model 1 (unadjusted), Model 2 (adjusted for age, sex,

N=16,839 $\overline{Q1, N=4546'}$ $Q2, N=5268'$ $Q3, N=7044'$ SII (1000 calls/µ) 517.19 (296.64) 545.09 (307.33) 552.08 (293.51) 495.72 (295.00) <0.001 B(m0/1) 10.95 (54.4) 545.09 (307.33) 552.08 (293.51) 495.72 (295.00) <0.001 Set <0.001 Fermale 48.11% 63.55% 53.23% 34.49% <0.001 Male 51.89% 36.47% 65.51% <0.001 <0.001 Age (year) 45.96 (16.52) 45.63 (16.49) 46.30 (16.28) 45.92 (16.69) 0.286 Non-hispanic black 68.15% 63.12% 16.29% 7.22% Other/multiaclal 7.93% 9.15% 7.26% Other/multiaclal 7.93% 2.27% 2.54% 11.17% More hajn high school 12.10% 17.37% 13.17% 13.17% Obese 36.17% 42.39% 2.83% 2.93% Obese <	Characteristic	Overall N = 16,858	TB Tertiles	<i>p</i> -value ²		
Shi (1000 cells/µl) 517.19 (298.64) 545.09 (307.33) 522.08 (293.51) 495.73 (295.00) <0.001			<th>Q1, N=4546¹</th> <th>Q2, N = 5268¹</th> <th>Q3, N = 7044¹</th> <th></th>		Q1, N=4546 ¹	Q2, N = 5268 ¹
TB (µmol/L)19.95 (5.43)5.40 (1.55)9.39 (0.85)1.5.64 (4.90)< 0.001Sex6.3.53%6.3.23%3.4.49%<	SII (1000 cells/µl)	517.19 (298.64)	545.09 (307.33)	522.08 (293.51)	495.73 (295.00)	< 0.001
Sex<Female48.11%63.23%34.49%Age (war)45.06 (16.52)45.45%46.77%65.51%.Age (war)45.06 (16.52)45.63 (16.49)45.30 (16.28)45.92 (16.69)0.268Bac15.51%14.85%12.11%Non-hispanic white10.00%12.21%0.639%2.21%Non-hispanic black68.15%63.12%66.98%2.22%Other/multifacial7.37%1.91%7.37%I cast han high school2.10%12.11%13.37%11.17%<	TB (µmol/L)	10.95 (5.43)	5.40 (1.55)	9.39 (0.85)	15.64 (4.90)	< 0.001
Fenale48.11%63.53%53.23%34.49%Male51.99%36.45%46.20 (7.2%65.51%Age (year)45.96 (16.52)45.30 (16.49)46.30 (10.28)45.20 (16.9)0.286Rac13.87%15.51%14.85%21.11%10.00%Non-hispanic white10.00%63.10%66.99%72.23%10.00%Non-hispanic black68.15%63.10%66.99%72.23%10.00%Other/multiracial10.00%63.10%66.99%72.23%10.00%Churdmultiracial20.9%63.10%64.69%68.46%10.00%High school12.10%12.11%13.37%11.17%10.00%More than high school22.22%21.93%20.37%20.01%Normal28.95%23.26%36.96%23.09%10.00%Obese56.17%14.95%36.06%23.00%10.00%Overweight30.29%23.19%33.10%35.99%10.00%Ado MET min/week42.33%44.85%46.75%36.06%23.00%No66.25%46.35%36.26%35.90%10.00%No16.25%14.95%36.26%35.03%10.00%No16.25%16.29%76.0013.53 (0.70)10.00%No16.25%16.29%76.0013.53 (0.70)10.00%No16.25%16.29%76.0013.53 (0.70)10.00%No16.25%16.29%76.0013.53 (0.70)10.00% <td>Sex</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>< 0.001</td>	Sex					< 0.001
Male 51.89% 36.45% 46.77% 65.51% 22.82 Age (year) 45.96 (16.52) 45.36 (16.49) 46.30 (16.28) 45.92 (16.69) 0.286 Roc 51.87% 15.51% 14.85% 12.11% 0.000 Non-hispanic white 10.00% 12.21% 10.82% 81.2% 0.001 Non-hispanic black 66.15% 66.09% 66.49% 66.49% 66.49% 66.49% 0.001 Less than high school 22.2% 25.43% 21.93% 0.237% 0.001 More than high school 22.2% 25.43% 21.93% 0.237% 0.001 Obese 36.17% 45.95% 36.96% 29.30% 0.001 Overweight 1.69% 49.31% 3.10% 35.98% 0.001 Verse 33.84% 45.37% 4.18% 4.202% 0.001 Sc00 MET min/week 55.75% 53.25% 54.07% 0.001 Vers 33.84% 45.37% 4.18% 15.39%	Female	48.11%	63.55%	53.23%	34.49%	
Age (year)45.96 (16.52)45.63 (16.49)46.30 (16.28)45.92 (16.69)0.286 0.0010Bitpanic13.87%15.51%14.85%12.10%<.0001	Male	51.89%	36.45%	46.77%	65.51%	
Bace<<<<<<Non-hispanic white10.69%12.21%10.82%12.1%12.20%Non-hispanic black68.15%63.12%65.09%72.22%Other/multinacial79.3%9.15%73.6%72.2%Education56.69%62.45%64.69%68.46%Edistration22.0%25.43%11.7%70.0%More than high school12.10%12.11%13.37%11.7%More than high school25.0%25.43%29.3%29.3%Obsee31.7%45.95%36.66%29.30%10.0%Obsee31.7%45.95%36.06%29.30%10.0%Overweight32.9%23.1%31.0%25.0%25.0%School ETTmir/week42.33%44.85%46.75%42.02%Solo MET min/week43.3%44.85%45.75%59.8%Solo MET min/week53.75%55.82%58.47%10.0%No16.2%17.40%15.9%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.33.0.1%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.33.0.1%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.33.0.1%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.0%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.0%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.0%30.0%30.0%No16.2%12.0%13.0%30.0% <t< td=""><td>Age (year)</td><td>45.96 (16.52)</td><td>45.63 (16.49)</td><td>46.30 (16.28)</td><td>45.92 (16.69)</td><td>0.286</td></t<>	Age (year)	45.96 (16.52)	45.63 (16.49)	46.30 (16.28)	45.92 (16.69)	0.286
Hispanic13.87%15.15%14.85%12.11%12.11%Non-hispanic white10.06%12.21%10.82%8.12%12.7%Non-hispanic black6.815%6.312%6.689%7.22%7.5%Education7.93%9.15%7.5%7.5%7.5%Education2.22%2.43%6.469%6.846%6.467%More than high school12.10%12.11%13.37%2.037%7.001More than high school2.22%2.24%2.193%2.037%7.001Normal2.85%3.666%2.9.30%7.001Obese3.17%4.53%3.06%3.598%7.001Obese3.17%3.30%3.10%3.598%7.001Obese3.17%3.31%3.10%3.598%7.001Obese3.17%3.51%3.25%5.89%7.001Solo MET min/week4.23%44.85%4.675%4.02%7.001No5.62%53.15%3.25%58.47%7.001No5.62%53.15%58.2%58.47%7.001No5.62%4.33%4.18%4.153%7.001No5.62%53.2%58.2%58.47%7.001No5.62%3.01%13.03(0.101)1.001%1.001%No5.62%4.01%1.51%0.0011.01%No5.62%3.02%3.02%3.02%0.011No16.62%16.2%7.02%3.02%0.011<	Race					< 0.001
Non-hispanic white10.00%12.21%10.82%8.12%7.22%Non-hispanic black65.15%63.12%66.98%7.22%7.25%Education7.30%7.36%7.36%7.25%0.001Education56.68%62.45%64.69%68.46%7.25%High school21.20%22.43%13.37%11.17%7.001More than high school22.22%25.43%29.37%20.37%7.001Obese36.17%43.29%28.53%22.91%4.001Obese36.17%43.95%33.10%35.98%7.001Obese36.17%1.48%1.41%1.81%7.001Colo MET min/week44.23%44.85%46.75%4.020%7.001Smoler57.77%51.15%53.25%57.99%0.016Yes43.38%45.37%44.18%41.53%0.016No56.2%54.37%44.18%41.53%0.016Yes43.38%45.37%45.20%58.47%0.016No16.62%16.2%17.80%15.99%0.017Yes43.94%45.23%40.01333.93.0.0250.025Yes45.0017.20%13.93.0.0250.0210.011No16.62%16.2%17.20%13.93.0.0250.021Yes45.20%17.80%13.93.0.0250.0210.011No16.2%16.2%10.0333.99.0.330.025Yes45.6016.2%<	Hispanic	13.87%	15.51%	14.85%	12.11%	
Non-hispanic black Other/multifacial68.19%63.12%66.98%7.22%7.25%Clucation7.93%9.15%7.36%7.55%6.001Education12.10%62.45%64.69%68.46%7.11%Iless than high school12.10%12.11%13.37%11.17%7.001More than high school22.22%2.35%2.93%2.03%7.001Mormal28.95%23.26%28.53%2.9.1%7.001Obese36.17%45.95%36.96%29.30%7.001Obese36.17%45.95%36.96%29.30%7.001Overweight1.60%44.85%46.75%20.20%7.001<600 MET min/week	Non-hispanic white	10.06%	12.21%	10.82%	8.12%	
Other/multiracial73%9.15%7.36%7.55%Educator<.001	Non-hispanic black	68.15%	63.12%	66.98%	72.22%	
Education<<<< </td <td>Other/multiracial</td> <td>7.93%</td> <td>9.15%</td> <td>7.36%</td> <td>7.55%</td> <td></td>	Other/multiracial	7.93%	9.15%	7.36%	7.55%	
Less than high school65.68%62.45%64.69%68.46%High school12.10%13.37%11.17%More than high school22.22%21.30%21.337%11.17%Normal28.95%32.36%28.53%32.91% $< 0.00000000000000000000000000000000000$	Education					< 0.001
High school 12.10% 12.11% 13.37% 11.17% More than high school 22.22% 25.43% 21.93% 20.37% BMI <	Less than high school	65.68%	62.45%	64.69%	68.46%	
More than high school22.2%25.43%21.93%20.37%BMS2.9%2.9.1% < 0.001 Nrmal28.95%2.9.2%28.53%2.9.1%Obese36.17%4.5.95%36.96%2.9.30%Overweight3.2.9%2.9.31%3.1.1%35.96%Underweight1.60%1.48%1.41%1.81%PA4.2.3%4.8.5%46.75%4.2.0% ≤ 000 MET min/week4.2.3%5.1.5%5.3.25%5.9.6% ≤ 000 MET min/week4.3.3%4.5.3%5.8.2%5.8.4% $> 000 KET min/week4.3.3%4.5.3%5.8.2%5.8.4%> 000 KET min/week4.3.3%4.5.3%5.8.2%5.8.4%> 000 KET min/week4.3.3%4.5.3%5.8.2%5.8.4%> 000 KET min/week1.6.2%1.6.2%7.60.(2.0%)1.9.9%Yes8.3.8%8.3.71%8.2.0%8.4.7%0.01No1.6.2%1.6.2%7.60.(2.0%)1.9.9%.0.01Ver7.9.2 (2.7)7.374 (2.2.3)7.60.(2.00)8.1.5 (3.0.7)<.0.01$	High school	12.10%	12.11%	13.37%	11.17%	
BM </td <td>More than high school</td> <td>22.22%</td> <td>25.43%</td> <td>21.93%</td> <td>20.37%</td> <td></td>	More than high school	22.22%	25.43%	21.93%	20.37%	
Normal28.95%32.26%28.53%3.2.91%3.2.91%3.2.90%Obese36.17%45.95%36.96%29.30%5.2.98%Orweight32.9%31.0%31.0%35.98%5.0.98%Underweight32.9%31.0%31.0%35.98%5.0.98%A4.423%44.85%46.75%42.02%5.0.96%Solo MET min/week42.35%51.5%53.25%57.98%5.0.96%Solower55.7%53.5%53.25%57.98%0.016Yes43.38%54.37%54.83%41.53%0.016No56.2%54.37%54.2%84.0%0.016Yes83.38%83.71%82.0%84.01%0.017No16.62%16.29%17.80%15.99%0.017Na (mon/L)13.94.02.3013.93.02.103.0103.010Na (mon/L)13.94.02.3013.95.02.0013.93.02.103.017Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.03.340.03.13.93.02.103.017Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.3313.93.02.103.010Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.3113.93.02.103.010Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.3140.01.3140.01.31Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.3140.01.3140.01.31Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.3140.01.3140.01.31Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.3140.01.3140.01.31Na (mon/L)12.04.0340.01.31	BMI					< 0.001
Obese36.1%45.95%36.96%29.30%29.30%Overweight33.29%29.31%33.10%35.98%Underweight1.60%1.48%1.41%1.81%P/ </td <td>Normal</td> <td>28.95%</td> <td>23.26%</td> <td>28.53%</td> <td>32.91%</td> <td></td>	Normal	28.95%	23.26%	28.53%	32.91%	
Overweight33.29%29.31%33.10%35.98%Underweight1.60%1.48%1.41%1.81%P/<	Obese	36.17%	45.95%	36.96%	29.30%	
Underweight1.60%1.48%1.41%1.81%PA<	Overweight	33.29%	29.31%	33.10%	35.98%	
PA <	Underweight	1.60%	1.48%	1.41%	1.81%	
<600 MET min/week44.23%44.85%46.75%42.02%≥600 MET min/week55.77%55.15%53.25%57.98%Smoker55.75%53.25%57.98%Yes43.38%45.37%44.18%41.53%No56.62%54.63%55.82%58.47%Drinker55.22%84.01%Yes83.38%83.71%82.20%84.01%No16.62%16.29%17.80%15.99%Creatini (µmol/L)7.93 (27.73)7.74 (22.53)6.90 (27.00)81.35 (30.70)<0.001	PA					< 0.001
$\geq 600 \text{ MET min/week}$ 55.7%55.15%53.25%57.98%Smoker0.016Yes43.38%45.37%44.18%41.53%No56.62%54.63%55.82%58.47%Drinker0.02%55.82%84.01%Yes83.38%83.71%82.20%84.01%No16.62%16.29%17.80%15.99%Creatinine (µmol/L)77.93 (27.73)73.74 (22.53)76.90 (27.00)81.35 (3.070)<0.001	<600 MET min/week	44.23%	44.85%	46.75%	42.02%	
Smoker 0.016 Yes 43.38% 45.37% 44.18% 41.53% No 56.62% 54.63% 55.82% 58.47% Drinker Yes 83.38% 83.71% 82.20% 84.01% . No 16.62% 16.29% 15.99% . . . Creatinine (µmol/L) 7.93 (27.73) 7.374 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	≥600 MET min/week	55.77%	55.15%	53.25%	57.98%	
Yes 43.38% 45.37% 44.18% 41.53% No 56.62% 54.63% 55.82% 58.47% Drinker Yes 83.38% 83.71% 82.20% 84.01% No 16.62% 16.29% 17.80% 15.99% Creatinine (µmol/L) 7.93 (27.73) 73.74 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	Smoker					0.016
No 5662% 54.3% 55.82% 58.47% Drinker .0069 Yes 83.38% 83.71% 82.20% 84.01% No 16.62% 16.29% 17.80% 59.90% Creatinine (µmol/L) 7.93 (27.73) 73.74 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	Yes	43.38%	45.37%	44.18%	41.53%	
Drinker 0.069 Yes 83.38% 83.71% 82.20% 84.01% No 16.62% 17.80% 15.99% Creatinine (µmol/L) 77.93 (27.73) 73.74 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	No	56.62%	54.63%	55.82%	58.47%	
Yes 83.38% 83.71% 82.20% 84.01% No 16.62% 16.29% 17.80% 15.99% Creatinine (µmol/L) 7.93 (27.73) 73.74 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	Drinker					0.069
No 16.62% 16.29% 17.80% 15.99% Creatinine (µmol/L) 77.93 (27.73) 73.74 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	Yes	83.38%	83.71%	82.20%	84.01%	
Creatinine (µmol/L) 77.93 (27.73) 73.74 (22.53) 76.90 (27.00) 81.35 (30.70) <0.001	No	16.62%	16.29%	17.80%	15.99%	
Na (mmol/L) 139.40 (2.29) 139.57 (2.40) 139.34 (2.33) 139.33 (2.19) 0.371 K (mmol/L) 4.00 (0.33) 4.02 (0.35) 4.00 (0.33) 3.99 (0.33) 0.025 WBC (1000 cells/µl) 7.20 (2.30) 7.76 (2.68) 7.27 (2.25) 6.79 (1.96) <0.001	Creatinine (µmol/L)	77.93 (27.73)	73.74 (22.53)	76.90 (27.00)	81.35 (30.70)	< 0.001
K (mmol/L) 4.00 (0.33) 4.02 (0.35) 4.00 (0.33) 3.99 (0.33) 0.025 WBC (1000 cells/µl) 7.20 (2.30) 7.76 (2.68) 7.27 (2.25) 6.79 (1.96) <0.001	Na (mmol/L)	139.40 (2.29)	139.57 (2.40)	139.34 (2.33)	139.33 (2.19)	0.371
WBC (1000 cells/µl) 7.20 (2.30) 7.76 (2.68) 7.27 (2.25) 6.79 (1.96) <0.001	K (mmol/L)	4.00 (0.33)	4.02 (0.35)	4.00 (0.33)	3.99 (0.33)	0.025
Neu (1000 cells/µl) 4.25 (1.65) 4.56 (1.75) 4.30 (1.65) 4.02 (1.54) <0.001	WBC (1000 cells/µl)	7.20 (2.30)	7.76 (2.68)	7.27 (2.25)	6.79 (1.96)	< 0.001
Plt (1000 cells/µl) 238.11 (58.99) 254.64 (63.54) 240.58 (57.06) 225.71 (54.30) <0.001	Neu (1000 cells/µl)	4.25 (1.65)	4.56 (1.75)	4.30 (1.65)	4.02 (1.54)	< 0.001
Lym (1000 cells/µl)2.14 (1.16)2.34 (1.63)2.16 (1.13)1.99 (0.72)<0.001Hypertension0.010Yes28.60%31.09%28.04%27.41%No71.40%68.91%71.96%72.59%Diabetes<	Plt (1000 cells/µl)	238.11 (58.99)	254.64 (63.54)	240.58 (57.06)	225.71 (54.30)	< 0.001
Hypertension 0.010 Yes 28.60% 31.09% 28.04% 27.41% No 71.40% 68.91% 71.96% 72.59% Diabetes Yes 8.40% 10.04% 9.02% 6.91% No 91.60% 89.96% 90.98% 93.09%	Lym (1000 cells/µl)	2.14 (1.16)	2.34 (1.63)	2.16 (1.13)	1.99 (0.72)	< 0.001
Yes 28.60% 31.09% 28.04% 27.41% No 71.40% 68.91% 71.96% 72.59% Diabetes <t< td=""><td>Hypertension</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.010</td></t<>	Hypertension					0.010
No 71.40% 68.91% 71.96% 72.59% Diabetes <	Yes	28.60%	31.09%	28.04%	27.41%	
Diabetes < 0.001 Yes 8.40% 10.04% 9.02% 6.91% No 91.60% 89.96% 90.98% 93.09%	No	71.40%	68.91%	71.96%	72.59%	
Yes 8.40% 10.04% 9.02% 6.91% No 91.60% 89.96% 90.98% 93.09%	Diabetes					< 0.001
No 91.60% 89.96% 90.98% 93.09%	Yes	8.40%	10.04%	9.02%	6.91%	
	No	91.60%	89.96%	90.98%	93.09%	

Table 1 Weighted characteristics of the participants by tertiles of TB

TB total bilirubin, SII systemic immune-inflammation index, BMI body mass index, PA physical activity, MET metabolic equivalent, Na blood sodium level, K blood potassium level, WBC white blood cell, Neu neutrophil count, PIt platelet count, Lym lymphocyte

¹%; Mean (SD)

² Chi-squared test with Rao & Scott's second-order correction; Wilcoxon rank-sum test for complex survey samples

 Table 2
 Spearman rank correlation analysis between TB and SII

Group	ρ	<i>p</i> -value
All	-0.067	< 0.001
Control age	-0.053	< 0.001
Control BMI	-0.043	< 0.001
Control creatinine	-0.053	< 0.001
Control K	-0.052	< 0.001
Control WBC	0.001	0.877
Control Neu	0.025	0.001
Control Plt	0.038	< 0.001
Control Lym	-0.073	< 0.001

TB total bilirubin, *SII* systemic immune-inflammation index, *BMI* body mass index, *K* blood potassium level, *WBC* white blood cell count, *Neu* neutrophil count, *Plt* platelet count, *Lym* lymphocyte

race, education, creatinine, PA, and BMI), and Model 3 (adjusted for age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, hypertension, and diabetes). As illustrated in Fig. 2, the p values for non-linearity in Models 1, 2, and 3 were 0.390, 0.236, and

0.212, respectively, which signified that the relationship between the TB levels and SII was not nonlinear (p > 0.05).

Subsequently, weighted linear regression analysis (Table 3) was performed, which suggested a negative correlation between the TB levels and SII (β 95% CI -3.9 [-5.0 to -2.9], p < 0.001). The β coefficient for TB was $-3.9 \ 1000 \ \text{cells/}\mu\text{L/}\mu\text{mol/}\text{L}$, which implied that an increase of one unit in TB was associated with a decrease of 3.9 (1000 cells/µL) in SII. After controlling for relevant confounding variables, the negative correlation remains significant (β 95% CI - 3.0 [-4.1 to -1.9], *p* < 0.001; β 95% CI -2.8 [-3.9 to -1.7], p < 0.001). Furthermore, as the TB levels increased, SII showed a corresponding decrease. When comparing the third tertile (Q3) with the first (Q1), the β coefficient was – 51 (95% CI – 49 [–64 to -35], p < 0.001), signifying that the SII levels in the Q3 group were significantly lower than those in the Q1 group. This correlation remained significant after controlling for confounding variables (β 95% CI – 39 [– 55 to -22], *p*<0.001; β 95% CI - 35 [-52 to -19], *p*<0.001).



Fig. 2 Nonlinear associations of TB and SII (*TB* total bilirubin, *SII* systemic immune-inflammation index, *PA* physical activity, *BMI* body mass index; A Model 1: unadjusted; B Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, and BMI; C Model 3: adjusted for age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, hypertension, and diabetes.)

Table 3 The associations between the TB level and SII by linear regression analyses

Characteristic	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3		
	β (95%Cl)	t	<i>p</i> -value	β (95%Cl)	t	<i>p</i> -value	β (95%Cl)	t	<i>p</i> -value
ТВ	- 3.9 (- 5.0, - 2.9)	- 7.790	< 0.001	- 3.0 (- 4.1, - 1.9)	- 5.443	< 0.001	- 2.8 (- 3.9, - 1.7)	-5.072	< 0.001
TB tertiles									
Q 1	Reference			Reference			Reference		
Q 2	- 23 (- 38, - 7.9)	- 3.039	0.003	- 20 (- 35, - 3.9)	-2.490	0.015	-18 (-33, -2.1)	-2.268	0.027
Q 3	-49 (-64, -35)	-6.679	< 0.001	- 39 (- 55, - 22)	-4.625	< 0.001	- 35 (- 52, - 19)	-4.273	< 0.001
p for tend	< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001		

95% CI 95% confidence interval; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant, TB total bilirubin, SII systemic immune-inflammation index, PA physical activity, BMI body mass index

Model 1: unadjusted

Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, and BMI

Model 3: adjusted for age, sex, race, education, creatinine, PA, BMI, smoking status, drinking status, hypertension, and diabetes

Subgroup analysis

As illustrated in Fig. 3, according to the subgroup interaction analysis, there was no significant interaction among all subgroups (p > 0.05). Stratification by gender, education, BMI, PA, smoking state, and diabetes revealed a significant negative correlation between TB and SII (p < 0.05). However, upon stratification by age, race, hypertension, and drinking state, a statistical heterogeneity was observed in this negative correlation. Overall, the negative association between TB and SII remained statistically significant in most subgroups.

Discussion

In our study, a significant linear association was observed between TB and SII, which showed a pronounced negative correlation. Even after controlling for relevant confounding variables, this negative correlation remained statistically significant. Further stratification of the samples based on factors such as gender, education, BMI, PA, smoking state, and diabetes, confirmed the persistence of this negative correlation across different strata. However, heterogeneity was observed among different age, race, hypertension, and drinking state groups, which necessitates further studies to elucidate the underlying mechanisms. In summary, the findings from this study provide additional evidence about the anti-inflammatory properties of bilirubin.

SII, a novel immune-inflammatory marker, quantifies the interaction between systemic inflammation and the human immune response. A past study demonstrated that SII exhibited better sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value for evaluating the severity of pancreatitis Page 6 of 9

than the platelet lymphocyte ratio and neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio [7]. Apart from serving as a prognostic and predictive indicator for inflammatory diseases [8–11], SII can be used to assess the prognosis of certain cancers, such as colorectal [21], liver [7], cervical [22], biliary tract [23], and bladder cancer [24]. As SII encompasses lymphocyte, neutrophil, and platelet counts, it incorporates three indicators, thereby potentially offering a comprehensive assessment of the systemic immune-inflammatory status that considers a spectrum of immune and inflammatory activities.

Several studies have suggested that bilirubin possesses anti-inflammatory effects and can be used to treat inflammatory diseases. We found that bilirubin alleviated tissue damage under inflammatory stimuli by inhibiting the expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase and stimulating the production of local prostaglandin E2 [25]. Moreover, recent studies involving animal models of sepsis have shown that bilirubin inhibits the expression of proinflammatory cytokines and increases the levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines in the lungs, thereby alleviating tissue damage in sepsis [26]. In addition, bilirubin can downregulate the expression of adhesion molecules, inhibit inflammatory cell infiltration, reduce nitric oxide synthesis, and attenuate the production of various inflammatory factors. This anti-inflammatory activity has been demonstrated in animal models of endotoxemia, sepsis, and ischemia-reperfusion injury [27].

Evidence suggests that moderately elevated levels of bilirubin exert a protective effect against oxidative stress-related diseases [28]. Moreover, mild hyperbilirubinemia may induce beneficial adaptive responses,

Subgroup	β(95%Cl)	p value		p for interaction
Age				
< 65	-2.9(-4.0 to -1.7)	p<0.001	⊢ ◆!	0.140
≥ 65	-1.8(-5.2 to 1.7)	p = 0.310		
Gender				
Male	-2.2(-3.6 to -0.8)	p = 0.002	·◆	0.071
Female	-3.4(-5.2 to -1.5)	p<0.001	⊢	
Education				
Less than high school	-4.5(-6.8 to -2.3)	p<0.001	⊢	0.388
High school	-3.6(-5.5 to -1.8)	p<0.001	⊢	
More than high schoo	-2.2(-3.8 to -0.6)	p = 0.008	⊢	
BMI	,			
< 25Kg/m2	-3.2(-5.0 to -1.4)	p<0.001	⊢ →	0.488
≥ 25Kg/m2	-2.5(-3.9 to -1.2)	p<0.001	→→ →	
PA	,			
< 600 MET min/week	-3.9(-6.0 to -1.8)	p<0.001	⊢	0.185
≥ 600 MET min/week	-2.1(-3.3 to -0.8)	p = 0.002	→	
Race	,			
Hispanic	-1.1(-3.5 to 2.1)	p = 0.342	→ →	
Non-Hispanic White	-3.2(-4.7 to -1.7)	p<0.001	→	0.657
Non-Hispanic Black	-2.2(-4.3 to 0.0)	p = 0.053	·	
Other/multiracial	-2.7(-5.9 to 0.5)	p = 0.098	► • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Smoker				
Yes	-3.2(-4.8 to -1.5)	p<0.001	⊢	0.856
No	-2.5(-3.9 to -1.1)	p<0.001	→	
Drinker				
Yes	-2.9(-4.1 to -1.7)	p<0.001	→	0.655
No	-2.1(-4.7 to 0.4)	p = 0.100	► • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hypertension				
Yes	-3.0(-6.1 to 0.0)	p = 0.051	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.557
No	-2.5(-3.5 to -1.4)	p<0.001	→	
Diabetes				
Yes	-7.6(-14 to -1.2)	p = 0.020	→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →	0.244
No	-2.3(-3.3 to -1.3)	p<0.001	⊢♦ −1	
	(

Fig. 3 Forest plot for subgroup analysis (PA physical activity, BMI body mass index, MET metabolic equivalent)

particularly during sepsis and other critical illnesses [29]. In our study, the total bilirubin levels were negatively linearly correlated with SII, indicating a potential anti-inflammatory role of bilirubin. However, high concentrations of bilirubin are harmful to the body and may cause neurotoxicity, myocardial damage, and organ injury such as to the liver and kidneys [14, 15, 30, 31]. Therefore, further elucidation is needed based on whether the anti-inflammatory effect of high-concentration bilirubin manifests as a linear correlation.

Currently, clinical studies have observed a negative correlation between bilirubin levels and the risk of certain diseases. Since 1994, Schwertner et al. and others have reported that low serum bilirubin levels are associated with an increased risk of coronary artery disease, peripheral arterial atherosclerotic disease, and coronary artery calcification [28, 32, 33]. In addition, bilirubin has been reported to play a protective role in various diseases such as metabolic syndrome [34], diabetes and its complications [35, 36], and obesity [37]. The findings from these studies collectively suggest the potential therapeutic value of bilirubin under several clinical conditions. The present study revealed a negative correlation between TB and SII, providing further evidence for the anti-inflammatory effect of bilirubin and suggesting its potential protective role in inflammation-related diseases.

The concentration of bilirubin is associated with various factors, including age, sex, race, obesity, and smoking [38]. To minimize the potential impact of these factors on the results of our study, several potential confounding variables were adjusted, which included age, sex, race, education level, BMI, PA, smoking status, drinking status, diabetes, and hypertension. Additionally, the association between TB and SII was stratified based on the abovementioned confounding variables. The significant negative correlations between TB and SII were evident in most subgroups. Our results allude that the association between TB and SII is not significant in participants aged ≥ 65 years. Previous studies have observed that bilirubin levels increase with age [39] and that higher serum bilirubin levels are associated with a lower likelihood of functional dependence in older adults [40], implying the potential protective effect of bilirubin in the elderly. Nevertheless, with advancing age, most individuals tend to develop a chronic low-grade proinflammatory state, and the elderly often exhibit mild proinflammatory features [41]. Therefore, the heterogeneity within the elderly population may lead to a lack of significant correlation between bilirubin levels and SII, and the specific mechanisms need to be further clarified.

Page 7 of 9

Limitations

Primarily, the cross-sectional nature of this study precluded the establishment of a definitive causal relationship between SII and the bilirubin level. Additional investigations are therefore required to unravel the underlying mechanisms of bilirubin's anti-inflammatory properties. Moreover, the reliance on single measurements for both SII and the bilirubin levels may lack the precision offered by multiple measurements and dynamic observations, especially within the context of evolving clinical conditions. Furthermore, as the total bilirubin is further categorized into direct bilirubin and indirect bilirubin, different clinical conditions may require assessment based on the levels of both these forms. Therefore, it is necessary to further analyze the relationship among direct bilirubin, indirect bilirubin, and SII. Eventually, although a comprehensive set of covariates was included, the potential impact of residual confounding factors cannot be ruled out. To enhance the comprehensiveness of the findings regarding the association between SII and the bilirubin level, future studies that meticulously control for additional confounding factors are required so as to ensure the robustness and precision of the outcomes.

Conclusion

This study presents pioneering evidence for a significant inverse correlation between the bilirubin level and SII, which reinforces the anti-inflammatory attributes of bilirubin and highlights its prospective protective role in disorders linked to inflammation.

Abbreviations

SII	Systemic immune-inflammation index
ТВ	Total bilirubin
NHANES	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
RCS	Restricted cubic spline
IL-6	Interleukin-6
TNF-α	Tumor necrosis factor-α
NCHS	National Center for Health Statistics
MEC	Mobile Examination Center
BMI	Body mass index
PA	Physical activity
MET	Metabolic equivalent
WBC	White blood cell count
Neu	Neutrophil count
Plt	Platelet count
Lym	Lymphocyte
К	Blood potassium level
Na	Blood sodium level

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

Shan-Shan Huang and Zhou-Xin Yang designed the work, collected and analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. Yan Lu and Zhou-Xin Yang provided final approval for the manuscript and participated in the literature review. Zhen-Ye Xie, Xiao-Na Yi, Yi Ding, Xing-Kai Shen, and Hai-Yan Mao assisted with data analysis, assisted with revision of the manuscript. Jing Yan, You-Wei Wang and Zhou-Xin Yang oversaw the study design, assisted with the revision of the manuscript, supervised the study, and agreed to be held responsible for all aspects of the work.

Funding

This study was supported by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (LY21H150002) and the Department of Health of Zhejiang Province (2020KY388).

Availability of data and materials

The datasets in the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The NHANES study was approved by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) Research Ethics Review Board, and all survey participants signed informed consent forms. Detailed information about the NCHS Research Ethics Review Board approval can be accessed from the NHANES website (https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/irba98.htm).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Critical Care Medicine, Ningbo Medical Center Lihuili Hospital, Ningbo 315100, China. ²Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Geriatrics and Geriatrics Institute of Zhejiang Province, Zhejiang Hospital, Hangzhou 310030, China. ³Institute of Medical Engineering & Translational Medicine, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China.

Received: 5 March 2024 Accepted: 4 July 2024 Published online: 12 July 2024

References

- 1. Medzhitov R. Origin and physiological roles of inflammation. Nature. 2008;454(7203):428–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07201.
- Fullerton JN, Gilroy DW. Resolution of inflammation: a new therapeutic frontier. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2016;15(8):551–67. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nrd.2016.39.
- Aoki T, Narumiya S. Prostaglandins and chronic inflammation. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2012;33(6):304–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2012.02. 004.
- Scrivo R, Vasile M, Bartosiewicz I, Valesini G. Inflammation as "common soil" of the multifactorial diseases. Autoimmun Rev. 2011;10(7):369–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autrev.2010.12.006.
- Turner MD, Nedjai B, Hurst T, Pennington DJ. Cytokines and chemokines: at the crossroads of cell signalling and inflammatory disease. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014;1843(11):2563–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr. 2014.05.014.
- Akdis M, Aab A, Altunbulakli C, Azkur K, Costa RA, Crameri R, et al. Interleukins (from IL-1 to IL-38), interferons, transforming growth factor β, and TNF-α: Receptors, functions, and roles in diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;138(4):984–1010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2016.06.033.
- Hu B, Yang XR, Xu Y, Sun YF, Sun C, Guo W, et al. Systemic immune-inflammation index predicts prognosis of patients after curative resection for hepatocellular carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2014;20(23):6212–22. https:// doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0442.
- Liu X, Guan G, Cui X, Liu Y, Liu Y, Luo F. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) can be an early indicator for predicting the severity of acute pancreatitis: a retrospective study. Int J Gen Med. 2021;14:9483–9. https:// doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S343110.
- 9. Liu B, Wang J, Li YY, Li KP, Zhang Q. The association between systemic immune-inflammation index and rheumatoid arthritis: evidence from

NHANES 1999–2018. Arthritis Res Ther. 2023;25(1):34. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13075-023-03018-6.

- Yorulmaz A, Hayran Y, Akpinar U, Yalcin B. Systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) predicts increased severity in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis. Curr Health Sci J. 2020;46(4):352–7. https://doi.org/10.12865/CHSJ.46.04. 05.
- Cao R, Li C, Geng F, Pan Y. J-shaped association between systemic immune-inflammation index and periodontitis: results from NHANES 2009–2014. J Periodontol. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.23-0260.
- Vítek L. The role of bilirubin in diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular diseases. Front Pharmacol. 2012;3:55. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fphar.2012.00055.
- Dal Ben M, Bottin C, Zanconati F, Tiribelli C, Gazzin S. Evaluation of region selective bilirubin-induced brain damage as a basis for a pharmacological treatment. Sci Rep. 2017;7:41032. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41032.
- Mancuso C. Bilirubin and brain: a pharmacological approach. Neuropharmacology. 2017;118:113–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017. 03.013.
- Jayanti S, Dalla Verde C, Tiribelli C, Gazzin S. Inflammation, dopaminergic brain and bilirubin. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(14):11478. https://doi.org/10. 3390/ijms241411478.
- Stocker R, Yamamoto Y, McDonagh AF, Glazer AN, Ames BN. Bilirubin is an antioxidant of possible physiological importance. Science. 1987;235(4792):1043–6. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.3029864.
- Maines MD, Miralem T, Lerner-Marmarosh N, Shen J, Gibbs PE. Human biliverdin reductase, a previously unknown activator of protein kinase C betall. J Biol Chem. 2007;282(11):8110–22. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc. M513427200.
- Hwang HJ, Lee SW, Kim SH. Relationship between bilirubin and C-reactive protein. Clin Chem Lab Med. 2011;49(11):1823–8. https://doi.org/10. 1515/CCLM.2011.662.
- Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans. JAMA. 2018;320(19):2020– 8. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.14854.
- Chen L, Cai M, Li H, Wang X, Tian F, Wu Y, et al. Risk/benefit tradeoff of habitual physical activity and air pollution on chronic pulmonary obstructive disease: findings from a large prospective cohort study. BMC Med. 2022;20(1):70. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-022-02274-8IF:9.3Q1.
- Chen JH, Zhai ET, Yuan YJ, Wu KM, Xu JB, Peng JJ, et al. Systemic immuneinflammation index for predicting prognosis of colorectal cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2017;23(34):6261–72. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v23.i34. 6261.
- Huang H, Liu Q, Zhu L, Zhang Y, Lu X, Wu Y, Liu L. Prognostic value of preoperative systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with cervical cancer. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):3284. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-019-39150-0.
- Peng X, Wang X, Hua L, Yang R. Prognostic and clinical value of the systemic immune-inflammation index in biliary tract cancer: a meta-analysis. J Immunol Res. 2022;2022:6988489. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/69884 89.
- Li J, Cao D, Huang Y, Xiong Q, Tan D, Liu L, Lin T, Wei Q. The prognostic and clinicopathological significance of systemic immune-inflammation index in bladder cancer. Front Immunol. 2022;13: 865643. https://doi.org/10. 3389/fimmu.2022.865643.
- Wang WW, Smith DL, Zucker SD. Bilirubin inhibits iNOS expression and NO production in response to endotoxin in rats. Hepatology. 2004;40(2):424–33. https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.20334.
- Tran DT, Jeong YY, Kim JM, Bae HB, Son SK, Kwak SH. The anti-inflammatory role of bilirubin on "two-hit" sepsis animal model. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(22):8650. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21228650.
- Hu H, Wang J, Jiang H, Ma Y, Pan S, Reddy S, et al. Bilirubin protects grafts against nonspecific inflammation-induced injury in syngeneic intraportal islet transplantation. Exp Mol Med. 2010;42(11):739–48. https://doi.org/ 10.3858/emm.2010.42.11.075.
- Lin JP, Vitek L, Schwertner HA. Serum bilirubin and genes controlling bilirubin concentrations as biomarkers for cardiovascular disease. Clin Chem. 2010;56(10):1535–43. https://doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2010.151043.
- Jenniskens M, Langouche L, Vanwijngaerden YM, Mesotten D, Van den Berghe G. Cholestatic liver (dys)function during sepsis and other critical illnesses. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(1):16–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00134-015-4054-0.

- Eto E, Maeda Y, Sonoda N, Nakashima N, Kobayashi K, Takayanagi R, Ogawa Y, et al. Association of serum total bilirubin levels with progressive renal decline and end-stage kidney disease: 10-year observational cohort study in Japanese patients with diabetes. PLoS ONE. 2022;17(7): e0271179. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0271179.
- Hosoda J, Ishikawa T, Matsumoto K, Iguchi K, Matsushita H, Ogino Y, et al. Significance of change in serum bilirubin in predicting left ventricular reverse remodeling and outcomes in heart failure patients with cardiac resynchronization therapy. J Cardiol. 2017;70(5):416–9.
- Vítek L, Ostrow JD. Bilirubin chemistry and metabolism; harmful and protective aspects. Curr Pharm Des. 2009;15(25):2869–83. https://doi.org/ 10.2174/138161209789058237.(26).
- Schwertner HA, Jackson WG, Tolan G. Association of low serum concentration of bilirubin with increased risk of coronary artery disease. Clin Chem Lab Med. 1994;40(1):18–23.
- Wu Y, Li M, Xu M, Bi Y, Li X, Chen Y, et al. Low serum total bilirubin concentrations are associated with increased prevalence of metabolic syndrome in Chinese. J Diabetes. 2011;3(3):217–24. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-0407.2011.00138.x.
- Han SS, Na KY, Chae DW, Kim YS, Kim S, Chin HJ. High serum bilirubin is associated with the reduced risk of diabetes mellitus and diabetic nephropathy. Tohoku J Exp Med. 2010;221(2):133–40. https://doi.org/10. 1620/tjem.221.133.
- Yasuda M, Kiyohara Y, Wang JJ, Arakawa S, Yonemoto K, Dai Y, et al. High serum bilirubin levels and diabetic retinopathy: the Hisayama Study. Ophthalmology. 2011;118(7):1423–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha. 2010.12.009.
- Andersson C, Weeke P, Fosbøl EL, Brendorp B, Køber L, Coutinho W, et al. Acute effect of weight loss on levels of total bilirubin in obese, cardiovascular high-risk patients: an analysis from the lead-in period of the Sibutramine Cardiovascular Outcome trial. Metabolism. 2009;58(8):1109– 15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.metabol.2009.04.003.
- Vítek L, Schwertner HA. The heme catabolic pathway and its protective effects on oxidative stress-mediated diseases. Adv Clin Chem. 2007;43:1– 57. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0065-2423(06)43001-8.
- Boland BS, Dong MH, Bettencourt R, Barrett-Connor E, Loomba R. Association of serum bilirubin with aging and mortality. J Clin Exp Hepatol. 2014;4(1):1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jceh.2014.01.003.
- Kao TW, Chou CH, Wang CC, Chou CC, Hu J, Chen WL. Associations between serum total bilirubin levels and functional dependence in the elderly. Intern Med J. 2012;42(11):1199–207. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1445-5994.2011.02620.x.
- Bektas A, Schurman SH, Sen R, Ferrucci L. Aging, inflammation and the environment. Exp Gerontol. 2018;105:10–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. exger.2017.12.015.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.